

**AVJAMSS PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE  
INDUSTRY DAY  
QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES**

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0001	PWS Paragraph C.2.1.3 Clarification requested. What is the relationship between cost avoidance summaries for training sessions and equipment listed in the PWS? Is the intent to reduce the amount of equipment used in training sessions?	Task Area 4. At the end of a training session, the Government wants the contractor to summarize what media was used, what equipment was used, what could have been done to improve the training. This is to ensure that the maximum amount of available Government equipment is being utilized. Additionally, it serves as a review of each training session to evaluate what is, or is not, necessary.
0002	PWS Paragraph C.3.1.4 What is meant by the term “repair coordination”?”	Repair coordination is the identification by the customer of the requirement the contractor is to work on. The Program Coordinator identifies the work order, its priority, and the contractor begins work. Repair coordination is the contractor’s ability to react to the customer’s needs when presented with a work order or a phone call requiring contractor assistance.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0003	<p>PWS Paragraph C.3.1.5.</p> <p>a. What is meant by “prepare aircraft for unit level recovery”? It is assumed this would include limited disassembly and rigging.</p> <p>b. Does it also include operation of lifting equipment and heavy vehicles?</p> <p>c. Does it include cooperative operations with Military, Government, other contractors, or host nation personnel?</p> <p>d. What objective measures apply to the requirement that “no other collateral damage be done to the aircraft as dictated by the situation?</p>	<p>a. Do what is necessary to facilitate recovery operations.</p> <p>b. Yes.</p> <p>c. Yes</p> <p>d. No additional damage done to the aircraft under normal circumstances.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0004	<p>PWS Paragraph C.3.1.10</p> <p>a. What is meant by “perform flight recovery” and “sling-load recovery”?</p> <p>b. Is it the Government’s intent that the contractor shall engage in rigging loads for aerial recovery?</p> <p>c. Is it the Government’s intent that contractor pilots shall operate aircraft (either Government or civilian) engaged in external cargo operations?</p>	<p>a. Perform flight recovery means that contract pilots or crewmembers may be necessary. It is dependent upon the unit and their flight crew manning structures. We typically associate sling load recovery as rigging an aircraft for sling load. A unit may require both contract flight crew members and their ability to both rig aircraft and then the contract flight members sling it out.</p> <p>For each TO the unit will have the option to pick what it is they need.</p> <p>b. Yes, the contractor may be required to rig loads for aerial recovery.</p> <p>c. The customer on a case-by-case basis may utilize AVJAMSS contractor pilots for external cargo operations.</p>
0005	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.2</p> <p>Task Area 2 Subtask 4, Aviation Maintenance establishes time standards. Are these two tasks related?</p>	<p>Yes. Management is an inherent part of maintenance.</p>
	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.2</p> <p>This requirement stipulates a</p>	<p>The 24 hours begins upon receipt of a normal (not an 02 priority) maintenance request. The question</p>

	<p>24/7 operation. Please clarify the Government's intent by stating that technical assistance within 24 clock hours after receipt of normal maintenance request and that emergency technical assistance requests shall be initiated within one clock hour.</p>	<p>refers to a 24/7 operation. References to a 24/7 operation will be removed. In respect to emergency technical assistance requests, these shall be initiated within 1 clock hour of notification by the Government. Therefore, the Government would ask that the contractor have a recall roster should an after normal duty hour emergency work order be initiated.</p>
--	---	--

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0006	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.3</p> <p>What constitutes a “customer liaison visit”?</p>	The Government envisions “customer liaison visits” as Site Supervisors and above.
0007	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.5</p> <p>Clarification is requested. As written, the task appears to correlate open work orders with NMCS status. It is our understanding that NMCS is a function of the availability of repair parts at the point of a maintenance work stoppage. It is not clear what the Government’s intent is with regard to limiting open work orders as a function of NMCS.</p>	Everyone involved in aviation maintenance is aware of the scarcity of spare parts. If the part is available, the contractor is doing everything possible to obtain that part for utilization in completing open work orders. Parts that are available need to be issued immediately against open work orders. This allows the incidence of work stoppage for parts to be minimized.
0008	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.7</p> <p>It is understood that the requirement for “zero over-flight of Time Between Overhaul (TBOs)”, is contingent on Government operators of Contractor maintained aircraft adhering to established practices.</p>	Yes.
0009	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.9</p> <p>Is it the Government’s intent that the contractor shall perform operational checks, engage rotors, and perform test flights mentioned in this subtask?</p>	It is the Government’s intent that the contractor shall perform operational checks, engage rotors, and perform test flights on a case-by-case basis or as defined in individual Task Orders.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0010	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.11</p> <p>Clarification is requested. There appear to be multiple tasks here.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. “Provide a Performance Assessment Plan (PAP) or Quality Control Plan (QCP) to describe the technical approach, organizational resources, and management controls the contractor shall employ...” Is the Government requesting a Management Plan for discreet Task Orders? The QCP would be a part of the Management Plan. Is the term Performance Assessment Plan (PAP) used here, synonymous with an audit and internal review plan?</li> <li>b. “to perform performance assessment in the areas of production control and maintenance personnel...”. Is the Government requesting the contractor conduct periodic internal audits and reviews of internal and external processes? This is consistent with a comprehensive QCP.</li> <li>c. “to preclude work stoppage”. The context of this phrase as it relates to the other elements of the subtask is unclear.</li> </ul>	<p>a., b., and c. The term “Performance Assessment Plan” will be removed and the only references will be to a “Quality Control Plan”. The term “...performance assessment...” will be changed to read “quality control”. This task requires the provision of a comprehensive Quality Control Plan which, when applied, will ensure quality performance such that production and maintenance will not suffer work stoppage or unnecessary delays. The QCP will be part of the Technical Approach Sub factor.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0011	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.12</p> <p>Request clarification on the 15 minute standard for performing inspections. It is assumed that this standard applies when Technical Inspectors are reasonably available to conduct the inspection and are not engaged in other, higher priority inspection activities.</p>	That assumption is correct.
0012	<p>PWS Paragraph C.4.1.19</p> <p>Request clarification. It is our understanding that aircraft weighing operations are considered a Special Inspection and are not associated with Time Change components.</p>	The term “Additionally” will preface that sentence for clarification.
0013	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.3.</p> <p>a. Reference C.5.1.9, Request clarification. What is meant by the phrase “evaluate skill retention practical application and remedial training requirements”?</p> <p>b. Does the Government envision the contractor developing written and hands-on diagnostic instruments to establish a skill baseline for new soldiers? If so, then the reference “to establish a standard bell curve distribution of the population tested” does not seem to be appropriate.</p>	<p>a. The Government envisions the contractor developing written and hands-on diagnostic instruments to establish a skill baseline for new soldiers. The Government feels the follow-on verbiage is appropriate.</p> <p>b. The Government believes that it does apply. Regardless of the diagnostic test, the standard bell curve established as a result of data collection would lend legitimacy to the tasks being trained and tested. Over time it can be further used as a means of forecasting long term training requirements.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0014	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.5, reference paragraphs C.5.1.1, C.5.1.1p, and C.5.1.15.</p> <p>Is it the Government's intent to qualify students for professional credentialing with the FAA and FCC?</p>	<p>It is the Government's intent to provide the necessary training should the individual soldier chooses to obtain said certification. It is foreseen that increasing soldier skill levels will enhance readiness. What the Government is looking for is contractor facilitation of the soldier's effort to obtain these credentials.</p>
0015	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.8</p> <p>Request clarification. As written the task appears to combine training of individual MOS skills with collective training requirements. Is the contractor responsible for organizing collective training events and supervising their completion? Additionally, the task mentions the attainment of a GO status for quarterly training events as a performance standard. What are the diagnostic instruments that are applied to achieve a GO status?</p>	<p>Yes, the intent is to have the contractor develop diagnostic instruments. Industry possesses the tools and expertise to provide innovative training processes and solutions. The Government would like the contractor to come up with a training plan to meet training objectives. This Task Area is menu driven and the Task Orders will be tailored to the customer's requirement. The OLR perspective is to support formal training, when requested, for new equipment training instruction.</p>
0016	<p>The Government has identified the computer based training specialist/instructor under SCA labor category 29035. Should the contractor correlate this labor SCA labor category to the requirements mentioned in this paragraph or does the Government envision the contractor proposing an exempt category to meet the instructor requirements of Task Area 4.</p>	<p>This labor category was used as an example. However, offerors are encouraged to use innovative techniques and methodologies to achieve the required results and to provide the best value to the Government.</p>



QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0017	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.9</p> <p>This task appears to be related to the task identified in PWS Paragraph C.5.1.3. Are these tasks materially related?</p>	Where it appears that we have similarities between one paragraph and another in the PWS, we will re-look them and make a determination whether to combine them or keep them separate. In these two paragraphs, the difference is in what the customer requests.
0018	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.12</p> <p>It is understood that the Government will determine the availability and suitability of organic training resources.</p>	This is a true statement as it pertains to each individual task order. If a contractor is currently utilizing training aids on another part of this contract, it is reasonable to make every effort to utilize these training aids under more than one task order.
0019	PWS Paragraph C.5.1.14	Formal training will be available under Task Area 4. This is in addition to informal, over-the-shoulder training available under other contracts.
0020	<p>PWS Paragraph C.6.1.7</p> <p>Request clarification of the term “provision”. It is our understanding that provisioning includes the acquisition of materiel.</p>	The term “provision” is defined as customer requisitions or requests. An example through SARSS would be a request.
0021	PWS Paragraph C.1 mentions that the AVJAMSS contract will be available to other DoD customers. Have agencies other than the Army shown interest in using this contract vehicle?	Not at this time.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0022	<p>PWS Paragraph C.7</p> <p>Task Area 6, AMCOM Programs, discusses potential support of AMCOM Missile and Support Systems Equipment. Also, the Federal Business Opportunities Announcement mentions support of UAVs. How much Missile and UAV work does the Government contemplate for this procurement</p>	<p>The amount of Missile and UAV work available under AVJAMSS is not known at this time. The Government will look into this further. We are looking for the best value decision at the time a requirement materializes.</p>
0023	<p>Does the Government contemplate any task order work that will require customer furnished facilities, such as shop space or hangars?</p>	<p>There may be times when the customer will have to furnish these items. For those times it will be specified in individual Task Orders. The actual GFE and GFP are dependent upon the requirements of the Task Order. If the Government has equipment and facilities available, they will likely be made available. From OLR point of view, one of the shortfalls in this arena, the Government can lease storage facilities through its contractors but cannot lease service facilities. Bottom line, the requirements would be specified in each Task Order.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0024	<p>SCENARIO B: Paragraph 2.4 Work Matrix; provides a preliminary work matrix of 125 personnel that will require some form or level of training each fiscal year. The contractor must access the level of MOS training required for approximately 100 entry-level grade soldiers. Variables related to the approach are left to the individual offerors to predict. Question: Since this is a Firm Fixed Price scenario, does the Government intend to provide, or have any historical training averages, for example, 25% require complete follow-on training, 50% require refresher training, and 25% require proficiency verification.</p>	<p>The Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the Final RFP. Historical workload data, to include averages, if available, should be provided in the individual Task Orders.</p>
0025	<p>Section H, Paragraph H.17 Conferences.</p> <p>Will the government reimburse the contractor for costs related to Government-required conferences?</p>	<p>Yes, when appropriate. AVJAMSS is intended to work by issuing individual Task Orders. Issuing Task Orders will be decentralized. Therefore, reimbursement for Government-required attendance at specific conferences will be specified in the individual Task Orders.</p>
0026	<p>Section H, Paragraph H.30 Permits and Licenses.</p> <p>Will the Government reimburse the contractor for costs associated with any special requirements related to permits, licenses, and certifications?</p>	<p>It is the intent of the Government to reimburse contractors for these costs as a result of new procedures or equipment being directed to the field. An example would be NDI Level 3 certification as a result of a SOF. On a case-by-case basis the Government wants this option to be available. This paragraph provides for coordination PRIOR to obtaining permits, licenses, and certifications.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0027	<p>PWS Paragraph C.3.1</p> <p>Does Aviation Maintenance responsibilities include maintenance test flights? What are the fly and crewmember pay rates?</p>	Yes, on a case-by-case or individual Task Order basis. It is unknown at this time what the rates would be.
0028	<p>PWS Paragraph C.3.1.2</p> <p>In the DRFP it says that we are to provide a “flat rate” database for each repair/inspection performed. Are we expected to provide in the proposal a flat rate book for maintenance actions for each aircraft and missile system?</p>	No. What the Government is interested in seeing is how you would collect this data (via either STAMMIS or another program). It is not the Government’s intent that offerors should create or expend dollars in establishing a new database. The intent is to have offerors tell the Government how they intend to collect the data, what existing databases they intend to use. We would ask that offerors are cognizant of the fact that STAMMIS is available.
0029	<p>Page 54, Paragraph 52.000-4130</p> <p>GFE. Office automation equipment to perform tasks is considered contractor supplied. Does this relate to Government required office tasks and reports? Is the contractor required to supply automation equipment to perform Government office tasks?</p>	The contractor is not required to supply automation equipment to perform Government office tasks. If automation is not available it will be specified in the individual Task Order.
0030	<p>Page 6, Scenario A, 2.1.3.1.1.18, and 18.1.</p> <p>Cross training...Does this refer to contractor or Government personnel?</p>	Cross training in these paragraphs refer to Government personnel. However, the Draft RFP Scenario A will not be included in the final RFP.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0031	Who is responsible for providing transportation requirements? For example, daily supply runs?	The Government is responsible for providing transportation requirements such as daily supply runs.
0032	Will mandatory inspection points be established by the QAE?	Yes, mandatory inspection points will be established by the QAE.
0033	Who will provide publications and changes for TMs and ARs?	The Unit (customer) is responsible for providing updates and changes to TMs and ARs.
0034	Will local procedures and SOPs be made available to the contractor when a TO is issued?	Yes, local procedures and SOPs will be made available to the contractor when a TO is issued.
0035	Scenario A (Para 2.1.6) specifies the man-year for the TO as 1960. Is this a firm man-hour requirement?	The 1960 man-hours used for this scenario pertains only to this scenario. The Draft RFP Scenario A will not be in the final RFP.
0036	Will the limit for NMCS be the same as outlined in AR 700-138?	Yes. The limit for NMCS will be as outlined in the applicable AR.
0037	Page 13, Section C, Paragraph 3.1.14  Explain the wheeled vehicle list; does this include all vehicles in the unit Motor Pools?	No. This includes AGSE type equipment.
0038	Section C, Paragraph C.2.1.4.  This PWS requires the contractor to be responsible for publishing equipment management policies and procedures. Please clarify the scope of our publishing responsibilities.	This Subtask states that the contractor will “assist” the customer. Examples of an information submittal would be a DA Form 2028, which might state an error in a publication requiring a change. It could be information being passed forward that would result in a STAMIS Information Change Package (SICP).

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0039	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.3.1.5 and C.3.1.10</p> <p>Please clarify the limits, i.e., geographical radius, etc., of where we are to provide unit level recovery services. Major concern is where we account for and price travel, per diem, etc. and identification of who is responsible for providing transportation, equipment, etc., to implement the recovery of aircraft at off-site locations</p>	The Government will generally provide all equipment. We will identify exceptions in the specific Task Order. It is felt the majority of AVUM recovery will be accomplished on Installation training areas. Aircraft in transit will be provided recovery by the Installation within the geographical radius (AR 5-9).
0040	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.3.1.14.</p> <p>Please clarify who is responsible for providing the materials, i.e., fluids, oil, lubrication, gasoline, etc., needed to perform maintenance on the GF vehicles and equipment.</p>	The Government will generally provide all CL III (P). Exceptions to this will be specified in the individual Task Order.
0041	<p>Section E Clause 52.246-11 Higher Level Contract Quality (Feb 1999).</p> <p>Please advise the higher-level quality standards applicable to this contract and resulting TOs.</p>	Principally ISO 9002-2000. Additionally, Subtask quantitative metrics are provided.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0042	<p>Technical Exhibits B, C, and E; and Section L.4.1.1.</p> <p>Certain occupations necessary to successfully perform the scenarios are not listed in Technical Exhibits B, C, or E. (e.g., Task Area 3 pays much attention to “Production Control”, however WD-listed Production Control Clerks are not included in the AVJAMSS Labor Categories and Descriptions exhibit). For staffing and pricing the scenarios and for completion of the Technical Exhibits, what is procedure for an offeror to request addition of absent essential labor categories that are already included in the provided WD?</p>	<p>Production Control Clerk will be added to the Technical Exhibits. Contractors are encouraged to notify the Contracting Officer or the Specialist in writing (email is acceptable) of those labor categories that they request be included in Technical Exhibit E of the final RFP. Descriptions will only be provided for those labor categories on the wage determination and within the Service Contract Act Directory of Service Occupations.</p>
0043	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.2.1.3.</p> <p>Please clarify what “cost avoidance summaries for each training session, detailing all equipment listed in the PWS” means. Is the intent to reduce the amount of equipment used in training sessions?</p>	<p>It is our intent to ensure all available Government equipment is utilized for training. This will provide cost avoidance to the Government. It is a review of each training session to ensure the “right amount” of equipment is available and being utilized.</p>
0044	<p>Section C, Paragraphs C.3.1.2 and C.3.1.7.4</p> <p>Please clarify what specifically the “flat rate database” the Government is requesting is and where, i.e., CLIN, it is to be provided?</p>	<p>This database can be as simple as an Excel spreadsheet. Optimally, work orders and the associated man-hours would be input into existing STAMIS located at the unit. An example would be SAMS1. The end state goal is to have quality data input for all work orders executed regardless of the “flat rate”.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0045	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.7.5</p> <p>Task Area 6, Subtask 5, Financial Accounting appears to address accounting for all contract funding on various Task Orders and CLINS. Please clarify the intent of this requirement, specifically whether it applies only to Task Orders citing Task Area 6 or any Task Order regardless of Task Area cited. If it is any Task Order under the contract it appears more appropriate for inclusion under Task Area 1, Task Order Management.</p>	This specifically deals with AMCOM and their varying projects or programs.
0046	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.2.1</p> <p>This paragraph states “The performance to which the contractor effectively manages and executes the TO is 95% of all Task Orders are deemed successfully executed by each and every TO customer”. Please clarify if this means 95% of “all” TOs or 95% of “each” TO. Also, please define the evaluation criteria that will be used to arrive at 95% success rate.</p>	The 95% is for each Task Order. The criteria will be based on the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan, which is being developed at this time.
0047	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.3.1.7.1</p> <p>This paragraph states “Provide AVIM tasks”. Should this be perform?</p>	Yes. Provide will be changed to perform.



QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0048	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.3.1.13.</p> <p>This paragraph states “Provide the development of a” HAZMAT storage and disposal plan. Should this be “Develop a plan”?</p>	For clarity, this will be changed to “Develop and provide a HAZMAT storage and disposal plan.”
0049	<p>Section C, Paragraph C.5.1</p> <p>This paragraph refers to an apprenticeship-type program for FAA/FCC. What is the governing directive so that the offeror may propose a response that meets the objectives of the solicitation? Also, please clarify the intent of this training.</p>	Ultimately, the intent is to raise the aviation maintenance skill levels of our soldiers. FAA/FCC certification standards can be obtained on the World Wide Web. These addresses are available from the Contracting Officer or the Specialist. The requirements for obtaining FAA/FCC certification are also available on the World Wide Web.
0050	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1.7</p> <p>Could other experience be considered equivalent to 3 years of documented (military instructor billet) instructor experience?</p>	Yes, experience may be considered equivalent to 3 years of documented instructor experience. There is a caveat to this in that the experience must be from a reputable source. You must make very clear what ‘other’ experience is being substituted for documented military instructor experience.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0051	<p>PWS Paragraph C.7.7</p> <p>PWS Task 6, Subtask 7 includes references to ISO 9002 and 9000-2001 Quality Management Control elements. It is the offeror's understanding that ISO 9002 is no longer valid. Should this be 9001-2000 or which 2000 series will be utilized under the terms of the contract and resulting TOs?</p> <p>Scenario D, Technical Exhibit A.4, Paragraph 4 identifies requirement for ISO 9000-2001 compliance and certification if possible. Please clarify the validity of requirement if ISO 9000-2001 versus ISO 9000-2000.</p>	This should be ISO 9002-2000.
0052	<p>PWS Paragraph C.5.1 and Scenario B, Technical Exhibit A.2, Paragraph 1.2.2</p> <p>These state that an apprenticeship-type program focused on FAA and FCC certification and licensing is desired. TE A.2, Paragraph 1.3.2 only refers to FAA certification and licensing. TE A.2, Paragraph 2.3.1 refers to both FAA and FCC certification and licensing.</p>	TE A.2, Paragraph 1.3.2 will be changed to read FAA and FCC certification and licensing.
0053	<p>PWS Paragraph C.2.1.</p> <p>Please clarify what constitutes a "successfully executed" TO.</p>	A TO will be considered successfully executed when all metrics identified in the TO have been met and the Government/Customer is satisfied with the quality of work.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0054	<p>PWS Paragraphs C.4.1.2 and C.4.1.17</p> <p>This requirement stipulates a 24/7 operation. Please clarify the Government's intent by stating that "technical assistance within 24 clock hours after receipt of normal maintenance request" and that "emergency technical assistance requests shall be initiated within one clock hour".</p>	The Government's overall intent is to ensure the contractor is proactive to the needs of the customer. The clock hour begins upon notification by the customer. The references to a 24/7 operation will be removed.
0055	<p>Technical Exhibit A.1, Scenario A, 54<sup>th</sup> Med, Fort Lewis, Paragraphs 1.4.1, 2.1.3.2.11</p> <p>To the best of the Offeror's knowledge, Weight and Balance inspections are AVIM-level tasks. Please clarify why they are included under AVUM.</p>	AVIM-level inspections are included under AVUM as not all units are co-located with or have an AVIM assigned for support. In numerous cases AVUMs have Letters of Authorization to perform this task. The Draft RFP Scenario A will not be included in the final RFP.
0056	<p>Technical Exhibit A.1, paragraph 2.1.1.4</p> <p>The unit is described as AVUM. It would ordinarily employ ULLS-A to manage its maintenance and supply operations. Please clarify whether the 54<sup>th</sup> Med also uses SAMS1 and SARSS.</p>	AVIM contractors are co-located with the unit and have a SAMS box on-site. All AVUM contract augmentation must be familiar with the interface between ULLS-A to SAMS and SARSS.
0057	<p>Technical Exhibit A.1, paragraph 2.1.2.2.1.1</p> <p>Please clarify. Does this refer to TBO and finite life component repair/replacement?</p>	Yes.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0058	<p>Technical Exhibit A.1, paragraph 2.1.3.1.1.18</p> <p>This paragraph states “Coordinate the cross-training of aviation maintenance occupational skills”. Is this cross training for contractor personnel; or, does it involve military OJT people? (Task Area 4 is not addressed in this scenario.)</p>	<p>Military personnel. Task Order 4 deals with formal training. All other Task Orders incorporate “over-the-shoulder” training (OJT, cross-training) as does other contracts for similar services. This paragraph will be revised as follows: “...training of government personnel in aviation ...”. The Draft RFP Scenario A will not be included in the final RFP.</p>
0059	<p>Technical Exhibit A.2, Scenario B</p> <p>Paragraph 2.3.2.4 reads “Develop Apprenticeship Program for interface training with journeyman level skills in all aviation trades”. Apprenticeship-type program implies that an OJT soldier must work alongside and under the supervision of an experienced and licensed journeyman mechanic. Are contractor instructors expected to perform AVUM and AVIM maintenance in conjunction with this task? Or does the contractor develop the POI and identify experienced mid-level soldiers to serve as journeyman while being monitored and coached by a contractor instructor?</p>	<p>This paragraph encompasses both of these methods for strengthening soldier skills. The Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the final RFP.</p>
0060	<p>Technical Exhibit A.2, Scenario B, paragraph 2.3.3.2.3</p> <p>What is the accreditation standard for aviation training?</p>	<p>The contractor should utilize existing FAA/FCC or MOS standards. The Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the final RFP.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0061	<p>Technical Exhibit A.2, Scenario B, paragraph 2.5 and subordinate paragraphs.</p> <p>Please clarify the use of “work requests” as related to this training mission. Will units submit work requests to identify training requirements? If so, for which end items?</p>	A work request could be a work order or a memorandum signed by the unit Commander. It would specify the training required by the customer. The end items will be specified in the applicable task order.
0062	<p>Section L.2.1.4</p> <p>This paragraph states that a list of references must be provided to the Contracting Officer within 15 calendar days of release of the RFP. It further states that all Government POCs are to electronically complete the questionnaire and return it within three days via email. Section L.2.1.4.3 states that completed questionnaires may be submitted by mail, electronic mail (preferred) or facsimile and provides the mailing address, email address and facsimile number. Please clarify how completed questionnaires are to be submitted?</p>	The Government is looking for your Government references for the last three years regarding aviation. We will then solicit responses from your references. We have a reminder to follow-up on these responses after three days. It is your responsibility to notify these sources that they will be contacted by the Government and that they are authorized to respond to these questions. Wording will be revised to remove the requirement for Government personnel to respond within 3 days since we understand you have no control, other than contacting the references and asking them to respond.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0063	<p>Section H.25 states that the contractor must identify key personnel for the contract and for each TO. Section L.3.3 requires that our Management Proposal section contain resumes of Key Personnel (program manager, task order manager, etc.). Section L.3.3.2 requires us to provide resumes for key personnel used in the performance of the proposed scenario. Section M.3.2.3 refers to Key Personnel Licenses and/or Certificates that will be used by evaluation team to determine whether the offeror is qualified. Please clarify the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. What Licenses and/or Certificates are Key Personnel, specifically the Program Manager, Task Order Manager and other exempt key personnel assigned to the overall contract or specific task orders required to have to determine that we are a qualified offeror?</li> <li>b. Section L.1.1 restricts Volume II – Technical Proposal to 200 pages. In view of the page limitations and the requirement to provide resumes for each scenario (Section L.3.3.2), we request clarification to ensure we</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. This will be determined in the Task Order.</li> <li>b. As long as the resumes are referenced or the location of the resume identified, they do not need to be duplicated. The number of pages will be further reduced due to the deletion of two scenarios.</li> </ul>

	<p>satisfy the Government's requirements.</p> <p>Specifically, is the Government expecting to see the Contract Program Manager resume in each scenario response? May a Key Person (e.g., Task Order Manager) be used to support more than one scenario and, if yes, must we replicate the resume in each scenario response or is a reference to the resume in another scenario response acceptable?</p>	
--	---	--

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0064	<p>Section L.1.2.1</p> <p>Request the Government consider allowing for the use of Adobe Acrobat to create PDF files for the electronic format of Volume I and Volume II submissions.</p>	<p>This requirement will not change. An exception to this will be for the electronic submission of documents that require signatures. Document containing signatures may be submitted using Adobe Acrobat.</p>
0065	<p>Section L.1.2.2</p> <p>The statement that “Each electronic submittal shall be labeled with the following information” is not understood. Is it the Government’s intent that each Volume, i.e., cover sheet/title page, carry the information in subparagraphs 1-6 and the outside package carry the subparagraph 7 information. Please clarify what is required.</p>	<p>The intent is for your disk (or CD) to include a label with the information at L.1.2.2, Para 1.5. Proposals shall include the designation “Source Selection Sensitive”. The shipping package shall be marked IAW L.1.2.2, Para 7.</p>
0066	<p>Section L.1.2</p> <p>Please clarify paragraph numbering in DRFP Section L.1.2. For example section L.1.2, L.1.2.1, and L.1.2.2, and then it jumps to L.1.2.2.3 through L.1.2.2.7.</p>	<p>The paragraph numbering will be corrected.</p>
0067	<p>Section G, Clause 252.242-7000 Post Award Conference (Dec 1991)</p> <p>Where does the Government anticipate the Post Award Conference will be held?</p>	<p>The location of the Post Award Conference is yet to be determined. We anticipate this information will be included in the final RFP.</p>



QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0068	<p>Section H, Paragraph H.18, Conflict of Interest</p> <p>Will the Contracting Officer please state the nature of the potential conflict that the Government feels may exist at time of contract award? In addition please state the nature of the restraint upon future contractor activities. In addition please advise if the terms of any proposed OCI Clause and its application to the contract are subject to negotiation.</p>	<p>We have not identified any particular conflict of interest at this time. The mission would be to avoid them from the beginning. We are placing the responsibility of avoiding conflicts of interest on each awardee. For instance, prior to hiring former government personnel, ensure they have obtained proper clearance through the ethics counselor. We do not know at this time of any conflict of interest. The Section H requirement shown in the Draft RFP, H.18, will not be subject to negotiation.</p>
0069	<p>Technical Exhibit A.2, Scenario B, Paragraph 1.2.3</p> <p>States that the Regiment is located at Fort Polk. The specific units requiring a training program are the 4/2<sup>nd</sup> ACR, AVUM. This site is not listed in TE F AMCOM OLR Sites CONUS. Are we to propose labor rates for Fort Polk, LA? Is the Fort Polk wage determination going to be provided for this or are we to bid based on the Fort Hood wage determination</p>	<p>Regarding the rates. The base starting point for the rates is Fort Hood. If making an assumption, make it apparent to the Contracting Officer that an assumption is being made, and provide the rates being utilized with all assumptions and information available based on the scenarios involved. The Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the final RFP. However, the information regarding documenting assumptions is applicable to all scenarios.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0070	<p>In Technical Exhibits B, C, E, and Section L.4.1.1</p> <p>Certain occupations necessary to successfully perform the scenarios are not listed in TE's B, C, or E. (Production Control). However, the Wage Determination listed Production Control Clerks are not included in the AVJAMSS Labor Categories and Descriptions Exhibit. For staffing and pricing the scenarios and completion of the Technical Exhibits, what is the procedure for an offeror to request addition of absent essential labor categories that are already included in the provided wage determinations?</p>	<p>Offerors should notify the Contracting Officer in writing which specific labor categories are required to successfully complete the scenarios. These labor categories will then be incorporated into these Technical Exhibits.</p>
0071	<p>Technical Exhibits B and C, Instructions to Contractor states that the contractor is to input labor rates he expects to pay on average on the Category No. in Column 2. Does this apply to exempt positions only?</p>	<p>No. This applies to all of the labor categories.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0072	<p>Section C.7.5, Task Area 6, Subtask 5, Financial Accounting.</p> <p>Financial Accounting appears to address accounting for all contract funding on various Task Orders and CLINS. Please clarify the intent of this requirement, specifically whether it applies only to Task Orders citing Task Area 6 or any Task Order regardless of Task Area cited. If it is any Task Order under the contract, it appears more appropriate for inclusion under Task Area 1, Task Order Management.</p>	See answer to 0045.
0073	<p>Section H.47</p> <p>This Section allows for the addition of skill classifications at the rates listed on DOL Wage Determinations, but not identified in this contract, to be negotiated into contract TOs. Clauses 52.222.41 and 52.222.49 indicate that the Army requires compliance with the SCA and appropriate Wage Determinations. Please clarify which AWD will be used for evaluation purposes and which AWD(s) will be used for establishing rates for TO responses.</p>	AVJAMSS is a MATO IDIQ Contract. Task Orders will be issued as the tool to obligate the government. Task Orders will be based upon the immediate requirement and the latest revised wage determination.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0074	<p>PWS Paragraph C.7.5 establishes requirement for current status of expenditures by CLIN on a daily basis. Define “status of expenditures”. Site man-hour summaries for labor CLIN? Estimated funds obligation for CAP/CAS CLINS? Is the Government requesting the offeror develop a daily estimated cost expenditure system or does this operation refer to a Government legacy system?</p>	<p>Because OLR has so many CLINS with different pots of money, the workload may literally jump. You may work on one CLIN for a period of time and then move on to another CLIN because of a work stoppage due to lack of parts. Both CLINS are funded by different ‘pots’ of money. That’s part of the Government’s overlay, moving from one CLIN to another. This is also common for CAP/CAS CLINS as the expenditures are contractor acquired parts or services. When we ask a contractor to purchase specific parts, a certain amount of dollars are pre-set for those items or services. We need to know on a daily basis how much is left on each CLIN, similar to a checkbook balance, because of the stop and start work on each of these CLINS.</p>
0075	<p>Technical Exhibit A.1, Scenario A, 54<sup>th</sup> Med, Fort Lewis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Please clarify the sequence numbering of the paragraphs in this scenario.</li> <li>b. Paragraphs 1.4.1, 2.1.2.1.10, and 2.1.3.2.11. To the best of the Offeror’s knowledge, Weight and Balance Inspections are AVIM-level tasks. Please clarify why they are included under AVUM.</li> </ul>	<p>The Draft RFP Scenarios A and B will not be included in the final RFP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The erroneous sequence numbering of subparagraphs will be corrected.</li> <li>b. On this particular scenario, it is an AVIM level task. In many instances it is delegated down to AVUM level by way of Del of Auth. An AVIM issuing a letter stating this work can be done at the AVUM level. In this particular scenario, this is pretty close to real life so the contractor would be required in this particular instance to provide Weight</li> </ul>

	<p>c. Paragraph 2.1.1.4. The unit is described as AVUM. It would ordinarily employ ULLS-A to manage its maintenance and supply operations. Please clarify whether the 54<sup>th</sup> Med also uses SAMS1 and SARSS.</p> <p>d. Paragraph 2.1.2.2.1.1. Please clarify. Does this refer to TBO and finite life component repair/replacement?</p> <p>e. Paragraph 2.1.3.1.1.18 states “coordinate the cross-training of aviation maintenance occupational skills”. Is this cross training for contractor personnel; or does it involve military OJT people? (Task Area 4 is not addressed in this scenario.)</p> <p>f. Paragraphs 2.1.7.3.1.2 and 3.2. If the offeror elects to include the QC Plan, Property Control System Plan, and Physical Security Plan in its AVJAMSS proposal response, will these count toward the total technical</p>	<p>and Balance Inspections at the AVUM level. There will be instances of that throughout FORSCOM. The Government does acknowledge that this is an AVIM level task.</p> <p>c. The DOL AVIM support element is co-located with the 54<sup>th</sup> MED. The DOL element has and maintains the SAMS1. The 304<sup>th</sup> MMC possesses the SARSS-1 that supports the 54<sup>th</sup> MED.</p> <p>d. Yes.</p> <p>e. Military personnel. This goes back to what we said earlier as far as Task Area 4 is formal training. In all other Task Orders, we wanted to retain that ability of over-the-shoulder type of training available to us under other contracts.</p> <p>f. They will be included in the Technical Proposal and will count toward the total page count.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>proposal page count? If they do not count towards the total, how many copies of these plans are required for submission with the proposal?</p> <p>g. Paragraph 3.2.3.1.1 states “to meet special requirements that are peculiar to a particular TO”. Add: “...or to specific government/service equipment or processes”.</p>	<p>g. Scenario A will not be included in the final RFP.</p>
--	---	---

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0076	<p>Technical Exhibit A.2, Scenario B: 2d ACR, Fort Polk.</p> <p>a. Paragraph 2.3.2.4 reads “Develop Apprenticeship Program for interface training with journeyman level skills in all aviation trades”. Apprenticeship-type program implies that an OJT soldier must work alongside and under the supervision of an experienced and licensed journeyman mechanic. Are contractor instructors expected to perform AVUM and AVIM maintenance in conjunction with this task? Or does the contractor develop the POI and identify experienced mid-level soldiers to serve as the journeyman while being monitored and coached by a contractor instructor?</p> <p>d. Paragraph 2.5 and subordinate paragraphs. Please clarify the use of “work requests” as related to this training mission. Will units submit work requests to identify training requirements? If so, for which end items?</p>	<p>Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the final RFP.</p> <p>a. Both examples could apply. It is dependent upon what the unit’s training requirements are.</p> <p>d. We envision work may pertain to all equipment (aircraft) assigned to that unit. Work requests can be a memorandum from that Commander. Could also be tech supplies, could also be PC, or a lot of different things. But the focus is soldier skills, and we envision that to be aircraft maintenance related. AGSE is another good example.</p>

	<p>e. Paragraph 2.6.6.1.1 states “to meet special requirements that are peculiar to a particular TO”. Should the sentence include “...or to specific Government/Service equipment or processes”?</p> <p>f. Paragraph 4.1.1 States “Normal hours of work for 2 ACR are from 8:30 AM (CST) through 4:30 PM (CST)...” May contractor hours vary from this as long as these hours are covered? The offeror is unable to observe an 8-hour workday, along with DOL-mandatory lunch period, during an 8-hour span.</p>	<p>e. Draft RFP Scenario B will not be included in the final RFP. However, Contracting Officers issuing Task Orders will decide if the Government will pay for contractor training, or if MATO awardees should already be knowledgeable in the performance requirements of the Task Order.</p> <p>f. Yes. That will be determined by the PWS and the COR in a given location. For example, Fort Campbell we have several operations that are 24 hours but we have Government coverage during those periods as well. Deviation from the ‘Normal’ hours of work would be identified in the TO PWS.</p>
--	--	--



QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0077	<p>Technical Exhibit A.3, Scenario C: DOL, Fort Carson.</p> <p>“A ‘flat rate’ is defined as a negotiated rate of reimbursement for the redundant repair of components”. Please clarify if this means the rate of the number of dollars.</p>	This refers to the rate, not the total dollar value.
0078	<p>Technical Exhibit A.3, Scenario D: OLR, Fort Campbell, states, “provide appropriately trained and certified employees...” What certifications are required? By what agency/organization?</p>	<p>Certifications would be TO specific. Standard certifications would be A&amp;P licenses; Non-Destructive Testing Levels I, II, III; Federal Communications Certifications; certifications in welding when particular processes are required, etc. Those types of certifications and licenses can be expected to be required. For example, Fort Campbell required a shop to become FAA Power plant rated, which was identified in the TO specifically for that shop. The Government paid for everyone not certified in that shop at that time to become certified. It then became the contractor’s responsibility to pay for new employee certification. This is essentially the same procedure as for OLR. Another high dollar example is pilots. Basically, the Government will not pay for someone to be qualified as an aviator. The individual must come in with a minimum of commercial certificate and must demonstrate the proficiency thereof IAW AR 95-20.</p>

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0079	<p>Technical Exhibit A.4, Scenario D, Paragraph 4.</p> <p>Please clarify why Task Area 1 – Task Order Management is not required for performance under this scenario.</p>	Task Area Six (6) specifically covers all requirements for AMCOM programs. This scenario will be reviewed again prior to release regarding Task Area 1.
0080	<p>Section K, Clause 52.216-21.</p> <p>The NAICS code for this procurement is 488190 and the size standard is \$5,000,000. The offeror would like to know if it is subject to this size standard in awarding subcontracts under any resultant contractor.</p>	The applicable NAICS code and its size standard will apply to subcontracts awarded under those non-set-aside portions of this contract. Any set-aside portions of the contract will have a NAICS code specific to those services.
0081	<p>Section C, Paragraph 6.1.1</p> <p>Who will be responsible for re-fueling and de-fueling?</p>	If you go back to the page section and paragraph, it references Tech Supply support. In that subtask it identifies class III POL stocks. It does not identify re-fueling and de-fueling. This could possibly become a subtask under a TO and is dependent upon the facility. This particular subtask does not have a reference to re-fuel and de-fuel but does require accounting for Stock Storage and Supply on Class III POL packaging.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0082	<p>Scenario A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Paragraphs 2.1.3.1.1.18 and 18.1, Cross-Training... Does this refer to contractor or Government personnel?</li> <li>b. Who is responsible for providing transportation requirements; i.e., daily supply runs?</li> <li>c. Will mandatory inspection points be established by the QAE?</li> <li>d. Who will provide publications and changes for TM's, AR's? Will the winning contractors be authorized a Pubs Account?</li> <li>e. Flight Crewmember status? Will this be a requirement on a case-by-case basis? Where will the Government Flight Representative be located for this contract?</li> <li>f. Will local procedures and SOP's be made available to the contractor when a TO is issued?</li> <li>g. Phase-In Plan. How soon and who will the Phase-In plan be turned into?</li> </ul>	<p>Draft RFP Scenario A will not be included in the Final RFP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. See response to question 0029.</li> <li>b. We envision the Government being responsible for daily supply runs.</li> <li>c. Yes.</li> <li>d. We envision the Government providing all Pubs and changes. Per Sandy.</li> <li>e. It will be a requirement on a case-by-case basis. The location of the GFR TBD.</li> <li>f. Yes.</li> <li>f. The Phase-In is driven by the Task Order. So the cognizant Task Order Contracting Officer would require it. The Phase-In Plan would be provided to</li> </ul>

	<p>h. The Scenario (Para 2.1.6) specifies the man-year for the TO as 1960. Is this a firm man-hour requirement?</p>	<p>the Task Order Contracting Officer. There may be a number of cases where a phase-in plan is not necessary. This requirement would be identified in the Task Order.</p> <p>h. No. It's just one used for that particular scenario, which will not be included in the Final RFP.</p>
--	---	---

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0083	When the selection is made by the Contracting Officer for a Task Order, what are the criteria matrix used to determine the type of task order and who will receive the task order.	Refer back to Section G, Paragraph G8 and subparagraphs which talks about the TO requirements and the criteria. The answer to this question is found in the DRFP, Section G.
0084	Have steps been taken to have AVJAMSS contract accredited in USAREUR in order to comply with TISA with the German Government.	This will probably occur post award.
0085	Please provide the schedule for the RFP and the response time.	The milestones for releasing the RFP will be revised based on comments received from Higher Headquarters and Industry. Tentative milestones for issuance and closing dates are to be posted in the IBOP message folder. Based on the complexity of AVJAMSS, it is anticipated that the response time to the RFP may be 45 days.
0086	What is the % of the per diem rate for overseas task orders?	This references C.1.4; reimbursement will be 70% of the JTR. Reference paragraph C.7.9, travel will be reimbursed at 70% of JTR rates with provisions for going up to 100% of JTR rates. We included this because much of the travel will nearly be perpetual. In AVJAMSS we are allowing the KO delegated to work the TO, the flexibility to utilize 70% and up to 100% of the JTR rates. This is not a new concept and is being utilized on current contracts.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0087	In regards to the use of AVJAMSS in USAEUR, within the TISA and Non TISA, there is a minimum of 10 weeks for the government to receive approval of a TISA-approved position and work to proceed. This applies to all contractors. Will the startup date be adjusted accordingly?	The startup date for the basic AVJAMSS contracts will not change based on TISA. Start dates for individual TOs may slip due to TISA. TISA approval is something that the cognizant Contracting Officer would have to take into consideration on individual Task Orders.
0088	The DRFP does not address re-work requirements and compensation.	The Government will not pay for re-work of requirements.
0089	Paragraph 52.000-4103 is Government Furnished Equipment. Office automation equipment to perform office tasks is considered contractor supplied. Does this relate to Government required office tasks and reports. Is the contractor required to supply automation equipment to perform government office tasks.	Provision of GFE is dependent upon the Task Order. There may be instances where contractors will have to provide equipment to accomplish the requirements of the Task Orders. The cognizant Contracting Officer would determine the availability of GFE for performance of a Task Order.
0090	Paragraph 52.000-4107 is pay for working holidays limited to authorized OT.	Any overtime would have to be coordinated with the cognizant Contracting Officer for the specific Task Order in question. The Government policy is to avoid paying overtime whenever practicable. However, should a situation arise where a contractor feels they require overtime, this would have to be coordinated with the cognizant Contracting Officer at the time the need arises.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0091	Explain the \$100K competition requirement.	The verbiage in Section G is from the DFARS implementation guidance for Section 803 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2002. This is a public law that states that service contracts or task orders exceeding \$100K placed under multiple award contracts would be competed among awardees. Reference DFARS 216.505-70.
0092	Task Area 6, Subtask 21 states that we will have to dispose of hazardous materials IAW all federal, state, and local requirements. Will we be able to utilize existing facilities on Army Bases to dispose of hazardous materials?	That would be determined on a case-by-case basis. Many Installations do not have the facilities to store hazmat. AVJAMSS contractors may find themselves working in such locations and would be required to contract for disposal of hazmat or negotiate disposal in the Task Order.
0093	Task Area 6, Subtask 8 states that all maintenance repair or modification actions will be performed using the approved workbook for that task. Does the documentation and sign-off of maintenance actions in the workbook relieve the requirement to document maintenance actions IAW DA PAM 738-751?	Yes, for the AMCOM area that is Task Area 6. There are areas where workbooks will not be utilized. But there are other areas where the workbooks are necessary.
0094	Do the aviation maintenance responsibilities include maintenance test flights?	Yes. If the customer requests it in the individual Task Order.
0095	Task Area 6 Subtask 23 makes reference to the variety of equipment we may have to operate. Paint booths and stripping equipment are listed but no other reference is made to painting aircraft. Will we be responsible for painting aircraft?	There may be a requirement to paint select aircraft components.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0096	Given the increasing complexity of aviation tasks and the reliance on knowledge reach back for forward based operations. Why does AVJAMSS make an exception of the Training Area in the deployment support clause?	We do not envision training in our forward based operations.
0097	Reference Maintenance Training, will the required MOSs – be identified in the Task Order? Will the TRADOC provide POIs and the MOS standards, materials, etc.	We feel that the information is readily available from an Industry standpoint. This is the feedback we expect from you. How will Industry setup POIs?
0098	75% of all students must demonstrate OJT task proficiency. Will skill qualification standards for MOSs be provided by TRADDOC to establish a baseline for task proficiency?	See response to question #97.
0099	Will OLR maintenance be included in AVJAMSS	Yes. Anything managed by AMCOM will likely be included in AVJAMSS and will be defined in detail within each Task Order.
0100	The QA standard for the contract is confusing. Understand that each TO may require different standards. Recommend the contractor have a QA system that is Government approved.	Contractors are required to be ISO 9002 certified. QC plans will be required to be submitted and approved after award.
0101	Is the flat rate book required for proposal turn-in or is it due with each TO?	We are interested in Industry's ideas on how best to collect the data regarding flat rate. How would Industry collect the data? We do not want a workbook.



QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0102	In TACOM's Omnibus II, there was a specific set-aside for small businesses to be awarded to prime contracts of the 4 awarded. Does AVJAMSS intend to reserve a portion of the total awards for small businesses?	We are currently considering a Small Business set-aside for Task Area 4 (training). We are awaiting input from SBA before finalizing this decision.
0103	Will prime offerors submit a composite rate or will each sub submit individual rates?	The intent of our Cost and Price Analysts is to have individual rates. This makes it more difficult on contractors but that seems to have worked best for the Government.
0104	If a small business wins a prime award, will Task Orders be competed among all primes or will there be any small business set-aside task orders.	Task Orders will be competed IAW P.L. 107-107, Section 803 (see DFAR 216.505-70) among all primes, whether they be small or large businesses. If Task Area 4 (training) is set-aside, any requirement under this specific Task Area would also be competed IAW P.L.107-107, Section 803, among all set-aside awardees (as applicable).
0105	Will all task orders require competition? For example, if a contractor recruits new work will that work be competed?	Reference DFARS 216.505 guidance.
0106	Would AVJAMSS be a logical contract vehicle for airfield facilities mods/upgrades? For example Instrument Landing Systems (ILS). How many Prime contracts will be awarded?	FORSCOM is working on another contract called OPTARSS. Those kinds of requirements would likely fall under OPTARSS.
0107	How many prime contracts will be awarded?	We anticipate at least 4 prime awards. This is a hypothetical number.
0108	While each task order can have different standards per specific task, the large categories such as QA, training cost, etc., need to be standard for contract submission.	Agreed.

QUESTION NUMBER	QUESTION/COMMENT	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
0109	The QA standard for the contract is confusing. Understand that each TO may require different standards. Recommend the contract state the contract must have an approved QA system "Government approved".	Agreed. All awardees must be ISO 9001 certified, but submit their individual QC Plans for approval after award.
0110	Where will the contract and/or delivery orders be administered?	The Post-Award administration plan is for Fort Hood. Modifications or changes to the basic contract will be executed by the ACO at Fort Hood. Each individual Task Order will be administered at the installation that issues and awards it, with administrative reporting responsibilities provided to Fort Hood Contracting Command.
0111	<p>Request the addition of the following labor categories into the final RFP.</p> <p>Production Control Clerk Maintenance Scheduler Supply Technician Test Examiner Test Proctor Computer Operators I, II, III, IV, and V Computer Programmers I, II, III, and IV Computer Systems Analysts I, II, and III Tractor Operator (need to read the DOL description of this one) Environmental Technician Instructor Photo-optics Technician Truck Driver, medium and light (the supply fields specifically exclude vehicle operation) Sr. Mechanic</p>	The descriptions of these labor categories will be added to TE E, but they are already on the Wage Determination.

	Sr. Inspector Site Lead/Supervisor	
0112	<p>In paragraph C.5.1.6, Subtask 6, and Item 2.3.2.3.1 of scenario B states that we should "incorporate direct interface between unit apprentice level and <u>contractor</u> journeyman level workers". Is the journeyman level worker part of this scenario requirement, or do we assume that there will be another contractor worker that we can match the apprentice with? Bottom line, is where is the "contractor journey man supposed to come from? Is he supposed to be part of the staffing for this scenario? If he is, then I will have to make the assumption that the journeyman is in essence my line instructor and monitor but this implies he will be doing aircraft maintenance. Aircraft maintenance is not part of this scenario.</p>	Draft RFP Scenario B will not be in the final RFP.
0113	<p>Reference: Paragraph C.5.1.6, Subtask 6 – Aviation Maintenance Training - Can we assume that an aircraft mechanic labor category can be used as an instructor and monitor on the floor for hands-on skills training? The only instructor position is the computer-based instructor.</p>	So long as you clearly articulate your assumptions in your proposal.
0114	<p>Reference Scenario B</p> <p>The scenario is set up for only Fort Polk. We know that soldiers are often reassigned to perform special duties. This will reduce</p>	Draft RFP Scenario B will not be in the Final RFP.

	<p>the amount on training they can receive on location before they get Permanent Change of Station (PCS) Orders. While we can design the program to train the individual while he is at Fort Polk, all efforts to provide the skill enhancing training will be lost if the soldier leaves on PCS orders before the training is complete. Does the customer envision providing the same training under the same or similar task order at other major stations if the proposal is accepted? Or can we make the assumption that the program be focused on completing all training while the soldier is at the location described in the scenario only?</p>	
0115	<p>Reference Paragraph Standard Form 33, Block 9 - When will the final RFP be released and when will proposals be due to the government?</p>	<p>Updated milestones are being developed and will be posted on the AVJAMSS solicitation page on the IBOP.</p>
0116	<p>Reference Para. L.1.2.2.6. – The paragraph states that all text, except that used in graphics, figures, and tables shall be 10 or 12 point. Please advise if there is a font size limit on graphics, figures, and tables.</p>	<p>Minimum font size for graphics, charts, and tables is font size 8.</p>
0117	<p>Reference Para. L.2.1.4., L.2.1.4.5. - Please advise who is responsible for submitting Past Performance Questionnaires to the Offeror's Government POC's. Will the government submit the questionnaires or should the offeror? Please provide additional instructions.</p>	<p>The government will submit the questionnaires based upon your references.</p>
0118	<p>Para. L.3., Section beginning with “ Prior to addressing the</p>	<p>A spreadsheet will be provided in the solicitation for your use relative</p>

	sub-factors the offeror shall identify and explain all hours for cost realism evaluation purposes”. Please clarify how the offeror should address this requirement. Please describe where in the Technical Proposal this information should be included.	to this element. Instructions will accompany the spreadsheet. This will be submitted with your price proposal.
0119	Para L.3.3.1.2. Personnel and L.3.3.2. Resumes of Key Personnel. Please advise if offeror should provide information on personnel and key personnel for only the 4 scenario’s, the entire contract, or both.	Both, however to avoid redundancy, only enclose one resume per person. Reference the location of this resume as appropriate. See question # 63.
0120	Para M.3.3.3. – Personnel Management Plan – It is unclear how the government is requesting the offeror to respond to this requirement and how this will be evaluated. Please clarify how this will be evaluated and provide instructions in Section L for preparing this response.	Your personnel management plan will be used to help determine your organizational/human resource strengths and weaknesses. Your plan should focus on areas such as: subcontracting and teaming approach/expertise; recruitment and retention. Submitted using free format under the restrictions set forth at L.1.2.2.6.
0121	M.3.2.4. Quality – Performance Assessment Plan – it appears that this requirement will be evaluated in the Technical Approach Sub-factor, but in Section L the instructions for this requirement appear to be included under the Management Sub-factor. Please clarify how and where this requirement will be evaluated and if possible, provide additional instructions in Section L for completion of the section.	This Performance Assessment Plan will be reworded to Quality Control Plan. The QCP and offerors’ quality capabilities and tools will be submitted and evaluated under the Technical Approach Sub factor. Sections L and M are being modified.
0122	M.3.2.3. Certifications – Key Personnel Licenses and or Certificates. Can the government provide a list of licenses or certificates that are	See response to 63(a). You may address certificates or licenses in your proposal, but it is not required.

	required for the offeror to be qualified? Also should this information be presented in the Technical Sub-factor or the Management Sub factor? There are no instructions in Section L for addressing this requirement.	
0123	Para L.4.1.1. and L.4.1.5. . In Para L.4.1.1. it states that the Offeror shall also submit a firm fixed price proposal for Scenario B only, but in Para L.4.1.5. it appears you are also asking for price proposals for Scenario's A, C, and D. Please clarify which scenario's the offeror should price and include in the Cost Proposal. Also in Para M.5.2. the government states that the Offeror's pricing of Scenario B will be used as another evaluation tool for the cost proposal. There is no description of how the Price Proposals for Scenario's A, C, and D (see Para. L.4.1.5.) will be evaluated.	Draft RFP Scenarios A & B will not be included in the final RFP. Offerors will be required to provide rates and overhead by labor category. This data will be used relative to price analysis. A template spreadsheet will be provided with the solicitation.
0124	Would the government be receptive to innovative methods of cost reduction (such as proposing a cap on various overheads)?	Very definitely.
0125	Reference Paragraph Standard Form 33, Block 9 - When will the final RFP be released and when will proposals be due to the government?	To be determined. Keep checking status on the AVJAMSS solicitation page on the IBOP.